

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/808,894	03/15/2001	Kazuhiro Yamaguchi	450100-03068 5274		
20999	7590 04/13/2004		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			DANG, KHANH NMN		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2111	10	
				DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		14			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/808,894	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh Dang	2111			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SIX MONTHS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	···				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 21-29</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	.			
10. Other:		Inon Dong			
		Khanh Dang Primary Examiner			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/808,894

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendmenst to claim 1 raise new issue because they have never been presented before. Particularly, a further review is needed regarding at least the use of the newly added word "active" to determine whether such a word, when added to claim 1, violates any requirements under at least 35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1, 21-29 are unpatentable over Skarda et al. Applicants' attention are again directed to the Final Office Action and to the 2/25/2003 interview focussing on Applicants' presubmitted agenda.